UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,322	12/18/2003	Robert Elmer Sundell	132357	6921
	7590 05/31/200° ECTRIC COMPANY		EXAMINER	
GLOBAL RESEARCH			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309		1439	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ź	,		1746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/741,322	SUNDELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746			
The	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Re	•					
WHICHEV - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we only within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	oril 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Sinc	<u> </u>					
clos	ed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition o	f Claims					
4)⊟ Clai	m(s) <u>8-13,15-17 and 19-37</u> is/are pending i	n the application	·			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	m(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claii	m(s) <u>8-13,15-17 and 19-37</u> is/are rejected.	•	·			
7)∐ Claii	m(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∭ Claii	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application P	apers					
	specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Evaminer			
	icant may not request that any objection to the c		•			
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
	oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
	r 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>		priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110/	a) (d) or (f)			
a)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau					
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
	·					
		•				
Attachment(s)			v			
1) 🔯 Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
_	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal				
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	6) Other:	· atom Application			

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9, 16, 17 and 19-22 and 24-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akabane et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,207,764) in view of either No et al. (U. S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0074932) or Japan'293 (Japan 2001-259293).

Re claims 8, 16, 25 and 35, note that Akabane is cited disclosing a washing machine including a wash basket for a washing and extraction, the washing machine and basket comprising:

a cabinet:

an agitator (15)

a housing/basket (12) having an inner wall, bottom wall fabricated by a first process; and a plurality of geometric structures (28), the plurality of geometric structures rigidly attached to and extending radially inwardly from the inner wall, the geometric structures comprising a separate structure relative to the housing that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the geometric structures being, fabricated by a second process and wherein a shape of the plurality of geometric structures is configured for reducing a residual moisture content of an article contained within the wash basket during a spin cycle. Japan'293 and No are each cited disclosing the arrangement of geometric structures wherein a shape of the plurality of geometric structures is configured for reducing residual moisture content. It therefore would have been one

Art Unit: 1746

having ordinary skill in the art to modify the geometric structure in Akabane, to be as taught by either No or Japan'293, for the purpose of enhancing the removal of moisture from the articles. It is old and well known in the art that efficient of moisture removal is directly dependent the ability of the basket, through its perforations, to allow for the separated water to be sufficient carried away. Re claims 9, 17, 30, 31 and 36, to have the structures shaped or to have the specific dimensions, is deemed to be of little patentable weight in view of the corresponding structure in the applied prior art. Re claims 10, 13, 15, 26, , 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34 and 37, the No reference discloses the geometric structure as claimed. Re claims 19-22 and 24, Akabane disclose the means for introducing heated air.

- 3. Claims 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claims 8, 16, 25 and 35 above, and further in view of Smith (U. S. Pat. No. 3,287,817).
- Claims 11 and 23 define over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the porous material. Smith is cited disclosing a geometric structure having porous material (82) as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Akabane, to include porous material as taught by Smith, for the purpose of enhancing the process of residual moisture removal.
- 4. Claim 12 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claims 8, 16, 25 and 35 above, and further in view of Japan'500 (Japan 2-63500).

Application/Control Number: 10/741,322

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 12 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the porous geometric structure. Japan'500 is cited disclosing a porous geometric structure (12) as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Akabane, to include a porous geometric structure as taught by as taught by Japan'500, for the purpose of enhancing the process of residual moisture removal.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Rolkern, Andersen, Walker, Stine, jr. et al., Smith'712, Behrens, Ziegler, Hine and Sights, note the geometric structures.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/741,322

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746